

US-CARIBBEAN MEDIA RELATIONS: INSIGHTS

CORDEL GREEN and **RICHARDO WILLIAMS** discuss media ownership and content licensing – and consider broader cultural implications for all

This article examines two main issues that are very much interconnected: regulations governing foreign ownership of broadcast media, and copyright laws:

1. Does the US Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) clarification of the interpretation of its broadcasting ownership rules represent new economic opportunities for Caribbean media?

2. Does the continued reluctance of US media entities to license certain media content to authorised broadcasters in the Caribbean implicate global copyright and IPR (intellectual property right) regimes in increasing regulatory enforcement costs in these jurisdictions?

INTRODUCTION

The US has been Jamaica's dominant trading partner in goods and services, including that of media content. This arises mainly on account of the geographical proximity of the two countries and also the fairly large Jamaican diaspora domiciled in the US. For many decades, there has been a process of cultural exchange between the two nations, directly through tourism interactions, cultural immersion and dispersion among the Jamaican diaspora and through the cultural industries, especially sports, entertainment and media. It is to the latter aspect that this paper devotes considerable attention as we explore mutually beneficial opportunities in trade in media content and propose solutions for redressing some of the more pressing challenges staving off the growth of Caribbean media enterprises, specifically the low penetration of media markets in the US.

FCC'S CLARIFICATION OF BROADCASTING OWNERSHIP REGULATIONS

In a Declaratory Ruling, released on 14 November 2013, the FCC sought to clarify its interpretation of Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934. Over the years, that section of the Act has been interpreted by investors and other stakeholders in the broadcasting industry as a 'presumptive limit', of 25%, on the ownership or voting interests of aliens in broadcasting entities registered in the US. Faced with strong advocacy from groups such as the Coalition for Broadcast Investment (CBI), the Minority Media and Telecommunications Council (MMTC) and the National Association of Media Brokers (NAMB), the

FCC clarified its position, as follows:

"Section 310(B)(4) of the Act authorizes us to evaluate whether or not, in a particular situation, it is in the public interest to permit an entity to obtain or to hold a station license notwithstanding the fact that the alien in the U.S. parent of the station licensee would exceed the statutory benchmark – and to make such determinations on a case-by-case basis. Congress' directive is that 25% alien ownership is the point at which the Commission must act and exercise its discretion in making a public interest determination on proposed ownership arrangements that would exceed this level. Congress entrusts to the Commission the discretion to reject alien voting or ownership above the benchmark if the Commission finds that the public interest would be served by the refusal of the transaction which would confer a greater than 25% alien interest in the controlling US parent of a domestic broadcast license or by the revocation of the licenses involved." (FCC, 2013)

From the foregoing, it is clear that non-Americans can hold voting interests or ownership in broadcast entities in the US that exceed 25%, but only with the explicit approval of the FCC. And the FCC arrives at its approval through a process of testing whether alien ownership above 25% could possibly impair American public interest.

We are of the view that this clarification by the FCC signals a fresh and welcome opportunity for investments in minority media in the US and most importantly, by media investors and companies from developing regions such as the Caribbean, which has a large diaspora domiciled in the US.

This seemingly 'heterogeneous' group is in reality a natural, near homogenous pool, portending natural prospective business partnerships, as well as a lucrative market for media content that bears similarities to their own cultural expressions back home. The potential for doing lucrative business is not without theoretical support and insight, for example by Horst (2010), who draws on Gilroy's (1993) concept of 'rooting' and 'routing' to demonstrate how Jamaican diasporas in the UK, Canada and the US are using ICT, including traditional broadcasting media such as television and radio, to better understand their roots. Horst notes that in areas heavily populated by Jamaicans, such as New York, London and South Florida, local shop vendors often sell traditional media such as the Jamaican newspapers, the Jamaica Observer, the Star and the Gleaner, and also radio stations such as Caribbean Vibes Radio Jamaican ➔

◀ music and provide Jamaican focused news and current affairs.

But, for many second generation Caribbean people, the online medium represents their most accessible space for content that is reflective of and steeped in their familial culture. Based on the US census of 2012, there were about 2.34 million British West Indians living in the US, with 48% and 44% of those individuals residing in northeastern and southern parts of the US, respectively. Of that total, Jamaicans represented the largest group, with 951,000, followed by Haitians with 830,000.

One Caribbean oriented broadcasting entity operating in the tri-state area (New York, New Jersey, Connecticut), Caribbean International Network (CIN), which focuses on Caribbean culture, news, sports and opinion, is already drawing in more than half of Caribbean nationals on the two channels on which it broadcasts. Its viewership is actually higher than that of other top ranked channels such as Fox, CBS, ABC and Discovery Channel (Henry 2014). There is clearly a basis for more Caribbean investment in US based broadcasting entities. But some lingering issues need to be addressed with respect to the FCC's clarification.

One remaining issue concerns the methodological treatment of applications by aliens for ownership in broadcasting entities that exceed the 25% threshold. The FCC has not outlined or made public a substantive and objective rubric for determination of whether such applications could impair the public interest. Also, it needs to be clarified whether the FCC will require an additional application for further increased ownership share above an already approved level exceeding 25%.

We now turn to another but not mutually exclusive issue relating to US-Caribbean trade in audiovisual services.

LICENSABLE MEDIA CONTENT IN THE CARIBBEAN

The Caribbean exists in what can be loosely called the North American satellite footprint, which means that the islands receive, incidentally, US cable/satellite programmes. Over the years, some cable operators in Jamaica have retransmitted these signals, on a paid basis, to their 'subscribers', without having obtained licences from the channel owners. In several of these instances, the Jamaican cable operators, like their counterparts in say the Bahamas, have expressed an interest in doing business with the channel owners but are rebuffed either because the individual market on the island is considered insignificant or because the channel owner has no rights clearance beyond the US territory – although in reality everybody knows that the content is being delivered to neighbouring territories in the region.

In the absence of a Berne Convention-based compulsory licensing regime, which is disliked by US copyright holders, there is no framework for addressing this issue. The result is a vacuum between the organic, culturally and territorially logical demand for content, on the one hand, and intransigent rights holders who hold firmly to long established copyright modalities, albeit with



Consumers see no logic in shutting out content from licensed cable services that can easily be accessed via unregulated means.



questionable efficacy given the ease with which technology facilitates the circumvention of legal and technical barriers to consumption.

This situation is impatient of relief and begs for a solution which balances the legitimate

and natural expectations of satellite television consumers and the rights of copyright holders. In this regard, the Jamaican government insists on a licensing regime under which subscription television providers are required to transmit only programming for which they have acquired licences. This is enforced by the Broadcasting Commission, a quasi-independent regulatory body. Applicants for subscription television licences are required under Regulation 4(1)(iv) of the Television and Sound Broadcasting Regulations (1996) to "secure the relevant permission or enter into agreements or arrangements necessary for the operation of [their] businesses". This includes obtaining licences to broadcast the channels carried on their service. Cable operators are also bound by Section 4(i) of the Copyright Act (2000), which prohibits the reception and distribution of encrypted transmissions except where decoding equipment has been made available by, or with the authority of, the person making the transmission, or the person providing the content for the transmission.

Furthermore, Jamaica, by virtue of being a member of the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) trading bloc, is party to the bilateral Trade and Investment Framework Agreement (2013) between the US and CARICOM. This agreement contains specific provisions for the mutual protection of intellectual property rights in each other's territory.

This is the dilemma that Jamaica faces: on the one hand, there is a robust regulatory environment that insists on the broadcast of only licensed content, but on the other hand, there are premium cable channels whose owners refuse to offer distribution in the Caribbean. Opportunistic intermediaries bridge that gap through over-the-top (OTT) services, unregulated satellite television services, illegal cable operations, and sometimes foreign 'grey' content aggregation and signal distribution.

The Broadcasting Commission is bound to act towards protecting the interest of international copyright holders. However, it can only act in relation to cable services, over which it has jurisdiction. In this regard, the Commission has been relentless in its efforts to promote commercial solutions to the programming issues. For example, in 2007 and 2008 the Commission wrote to the chairman of HBO proposing a resolution to access and copyright issues. In response, HBO advised in January 2008 that it had developed a 100% English language feed for the Caribbean. Even as it was seeking to facilitate a commercial solution, the Broadcasting Commission issued directives in September 2007 requiring all cable operators to remove unlicensed HBO and Cinemax channels,

based on complaints from HBO that the channels being transmitted in Jamaica were not legally available in the Caribbean and were only licensed for US viewers. HBO subsequently issued a statement acknowledging the Commission's consistent action in relation to copyright.

But this unwavering and relentless drive to secure the interest of copyright holders by the Broadcasting Commission, while improving compliance among cable operators with the provisions of copyright laws, also fosters a high degree of confusion among and even resentment by consumers of US originated television content, who see no logic in shutting out content from licensed cable services that can easily be accessed via currently unregulated satellite and internet-based substitutes. Jamaica's relentless pursuit of copyright compliance in the cable sector therefore comes at a non-trivial cost.

It is our view that the unwillingness, and in some cases inability, of US channel owners to license their products for the Caribbean region, is in effect, driving up the regulatory costs of IPR enforcement in these small island nations, and, regrettably but factually, creating incentives for or unwittingly facilitating continued IPR breaches. Remedies need to be explored, facilitated or created under

A warning about illegal cable – one of several such messages in a campaign by Jamaica's Broadcasting Commission

international trade law and bilateral agreements, since it seems inevitable that the existing frameworks are being trumped by prosumers, technophiles, by-pass technologies and the novel notion of a 'global citizen' in the context of 'rights of access' to broadcasting and digital content.

GLOBAL CITIZENS AND RIGHTS OF THE USER TO CONTENT

Notwithstanding the unquestionable force of Jamaica's accession to international copyright treaties and global trade in services agreements, a new question has arisen whether Jamaicans, as digital citizens in a global space, ought to enjoy, to the extent technology makes possible, unfettered rights of access to content currently being denied to them. To answer this question, we ought to first probe whether in fact rights holders' exclusive rights to their content ought to be treated as infinite or delimited.

There are some legal theorists (Dusollier et al. 2000; Grosheide 2001; Hugenholtz 1997) who suggest that the exclusive rights of copyright holders ought not to be infinite in the extent of their control over access to its use and appropriation, since to confer such infinity of power is tantamount to an erosion of other fundamental rights and liberties, such as freedom of information and the right to circulation of culture. This is a fundamental tenet of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which states in article 19 that

"everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression. This right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."

Favale (2012) observes on this point that "information should freely circulate through culture, ideas, thought, speeches, opinions and creativity. While article 27(1) of the UDHR directly protects the circulation of culture, article 19 allows free expression and access to information, and therefore it protects as well the circulation of culture, although indirectly."

Favale notes further that "according to the human rights doctrine, freedom of expression is grounded on several justifications: it brings moral autonomy; it takes to the truth by means of discussion; it enables meaningful participation in democracy and it allows self-fulfillment. A government that suppresses those important liberties, in the absence of any special circumstances, offends the moral dignity of its citizens." This poignant observation can be adapted to the discussion on the continued reluctance of some US programmers to make or facilitate their programming being accessed under licence to

◀ Jamaicans and their English-speaking Caribbean counterparts. Arguably, it is a moral affront to the dignity of these peoples to be denied legal 'access' to content which is 'available' in the territorial space, since it infringes on their fundamental human right to partake of and participate in the circulation of culture.

Furthermore, it is socially repugnant for there to be a heavy-handed, one-sided barricade. We say this because at a recent conference in Jamaica, the Future of Media and Regulation, Brian Schmidt, the marketing director of Jamaica's leading reggae radio station, IRIE FM, complained about globally operating pirates "from Florida and the tri-states area of the US, Japan, Africa and elsewhere" who are involved in widespread piracy of IRIE FM's content online (Jackson 2014).

His complaint may be about what some argue as the reality of the times, but in any event it is very unlikely that foreign regulators will be expending any or significant resources to protect IRIE FM from such leakages or theft of content. We therefore agree with Neil Lemlein, member of the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences, former top executive at some of the world's leading media entities, and president of Around the Bend Media, who opined at the Future of Media and Regulation conference that: "It certainly seems unfair for Jamaican consumers not to have legitimate access to US satellite programming especially since access to the signal is actually already available 24/7. Reasonable reconciliation needs to take place – if rights holders only authorise continental US and territorial broadcast, yet allow transmission to filter into Jamaica, then by all means revisit, revise and allow rights acquisition. I think all anyone asks for here is a new and reasonable framework based on reality and fairness" (Lemlein 2014).

The Bahamas, not unlike what the US authorities themselves authorised many years prior, legislated the compulsory licensing of television content including encrypted cable channels. The legislation allowed for an imposition of liability on subscription TV (STV) operators to pay over to the government all copyright fees, towards eventually compensating the rights holders. This sparked complaints from the Motion Pictures Association and the Television Association of Programmers, on whose behalf the US government entered into negotiations with the Bahamian government towards a resolution. Appeals to international IPR conventions and specifically to the Berne Convention, forced the Bahamian government to rescind and rescope its legislation, but not before the US government was invited to assist in brokering commercial arrangements between rights holders in the US and STV operators in the Bahamas.

Remarkably, the underlying implication here is that these global treaties on copyright appear to appeal to, or rather are concerned primarily with, the economic/financial interests of rights holders – interests that are subordinate to the ultimate rationale of copyright: the circulation of culture (Favale 2012).

This is a concern that Jamaican authorities have raised with the US Trade Representative, but very little has come of those efforts, despite the actions of regulators such as the Broadcasting Commission in protecting copyright holders' interests in Jamaica and the broader Caribbean. In fact, the US and Jamaica signed a bilateral intellectual property rights agreement in March 1994, in which Jamaica offered a higher standard of protection than any other country in the Caribbean region. Since then, the Broadcasting Commission has been relentless in helping to secure the property rights of all materials broadcast by STV operators. These efforts by the

Commission are expensive, and are borne entirely by Jamaican cable operators. Yet, there is an unwillingness of US rights holders to make their programming available legally for individuals who have both the willingness and ability to pay for such content. Clearly, that this problem persists, despite dialogue and interventions in the US, implicates existing IPR regimes in what is an unfair and patently egregious abuse of copyright holder status. And even further, it represents the continued beleaguering of the moral dignity of Jamaican and Caribbean people. Absent purely self-serving economic and commercial interests, what basis supports US rights holders' reluctance to license content to Jamaican and Caribbean people?

CONCLUSION

Globally, the number of internet protocol networks are increasing, and so too is traditional broadcasting media transitioning apace to being full digital networks. One significant upshot is that content producers are trying desperately to exert industrial age type control over individuals' access and use of digital content. Current notions of copyright protection prioritise, almost exclusively, the rights of owners, in contrast to the rights and interests of users, including prosumers.

We have argued that this tension will become volatile as many more millions of people become digital consumers and 'global citizens' whose physical distance is proxied and eroded by integrated digital technologies. In this context, it will become increasingly impracticable, if not morally reprehensible and culturally repugnant, for exclusive rights holders to continue to license content to one geographical segment of the global population, while restricting or denying others, when in fact there are no fundamental distinctions other than nationality, especially where taste and language are the same and physical inter-travel is the norm. And as we have also argued, those types of geographic licensing, in the context of globally binding IPR treaties, serve only to inflate the cost of regulatory enforcement in jurisdictions such as Jamaica, which can least afford such pecuniary burdens.

Finally, we adapt Favale's point that normative action and statutory protection should guarantee to both owners and users of copyright works an access right, consistent with the ultimate rationale of copyright: the circulation of culture.

CORDEL GREEN is an attorney-at-law, a former assistant attorney-general of Jamaica and a former broadcaster. He is the executive director of the Broadcasting Commission, which regulates radio, television and cable in Jamaica. **RICHARDO WILLIAMS** is a former economist at the Broadcasting Commission. Their views are personal and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Broadcasting Commission.

REFERENCES

- Dussollier S et al. (2000). Copyright and access to information in the digital environment. A study prepared for the third UNESCO congress on ethical, legal and societal challenges of cyberspace infoethics.
- Favale M (2012). The right of access in digital copyright: right of the owner or right of the user? *Journal of World Intellectual Property* 15 (1): 1–25.
- Federal Communications Commission (2013). Declaratory ruling, 14 November. bit.ly/1qbqe1W
- Gilroy P (1993). *The black Atlantic*. London: Verso.
- Grosheide W (2001). Copyright law from a user's perspective: access rights for users. *European Intellectual Property Review* 48: 321–5.
- Henry B (2014). Exporters ignoring TV route into US market, says CIN. *Jamaica Observer*, 26 January 2014. bit.ly/1mchhEa
- Horst H (2010). Keeping the link: ICTs and Jamaican migration. In Alonso A and Oiarzabal P (eds) *Diasporas in the new media age: identity, politics and community*. pp136–150. University of Nevada Press.
- Hugenholtz P (1997). Fierce creatures. Copyright exceptions: towards extinction? *The Netherlands*. bit.ly/1kU1INx
- Jackson S. (2014). Pirates prey on Irie FM – Schmidt. *Jamaica Observer*, 11 April 2014. bit.ly/1m5YLx2
- Lemlein N (2014). *The Future of Media and Regulation* – keynote address. 8 April, Kingston.